UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/626,464 | 07/24/2003 | Alexandre Blais | 701826-054280 | 1325 | |
| | 50828 7590 11/13/2008 DAVID S. RESNICK | | | EXAMINER | |
| NIXON PEABODY LLP | | | PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL | | |
| 100 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-2131 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1616 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/13/2008 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

bostonpatent@nixonpeabody.com mstembridge@nixonpeabody.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/626,464 | BLAIS, ALEXANDRE | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | ALTON N. PRYOR | 1616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A | uaust 2008 | | | | | |
| • | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · - | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | • | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | aton Application | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 3/6/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See discussion below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Burnham et al (US 6841515), Burnham et al (US 5853450), Kimura (US 5093262) and Blais (CA 2332187; 7/24/02).

Burnham teaches the production of biosolid granules for use as fertilizers (abstract).

Burnham et al teach the utility of incorporating wastewater or bioorganic sludge including microbial matter in fertilizer compositions.

Kimura teaches methods of incorporating nitrogen fixing bacillus material into dried fertilizer compositions containing conventional NPK fertilizer materials (column 6).

Blais teaches a method of treating fertilizer with bacteria (abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references because they teach the utility of combining microbial materials into fertilizer compositions.

Art Unit: 1616

Thus it would have been prima facie obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to have made a granular fertilizer composition comprising a bacterial fermentation product because the prior art teaches solid fertilizer compositions comprising known NPK fertilizer components in combination with bacterial materials.

Response to Applicant's argument

The Applicants argue in the responses and declaration that the prior art cited does not teach or suggest that the ferment (containing the bacteria) is obtained from a fermentation stopped and that bacteria are unencapsulated. The Examiner argues that the claims do not recite that the bacteria are unencapsulated. Particularly, Burham et al. '450 and Kimura '262 explicitly do not teach the bacteria (ferment) being encapsulated. The references cited do not recite a method wherein the fermentation is stopped before bacteria are dormant. However, in all the references the bacteria (ferment) are combined with fertilizer to create a granule (see 103 rejection) above. It is obvious that bacteria in the fertilizer would be active in order to carry out the desired process.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,464 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alton N. Pryor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616